www.druthers.ca
truth·love·peace·freedom
DRUTHERS
Est. 2020

FREE
Issue #63
2026-02

People
Powered
Press

Carney's "New World Order"

By Liam De Boer | BlendrNews.com

"The progress that we have made sets us up well for the new world order," **Mark Carney told the Chinese Communist Party while visiting Beijing.** He praised President Xi Jinping and said he was heartened by *"the speed with which our relationship has progressed in recent months."*

This came just months after a national election in which Carney warned that *"the biggest security threat to Canada is China."* When asked whether he still believed China posed that threat, the Prime Minister replied: *"The security landscape continues to change"* and *"we face many threats."*

So let's recap. **China is Canada's biggest security threat—but we are now partnering with it, including on security, to help shape a "new world order."**

In another era, that might have been called treason.

That's the thing about forming "new world orders." The rules are rewritten.

Carney addressed this when pressed to elaborate. *"The world is still determining what that order is going to be,"* he said, raising questions such as: **"What is going to govern global trade?" and "What is the role of the WTO (World Trade Organization) going to be?"**

He continued: *"How important are bilateral deals? Plurilateral deals, if I can use that term?"* before conceding, *"The multilateral system that has been developing is being eroded—to use a polite term. Undercut, to use another."*

"Bilateral." "Plurilateral." "Multilateral." Needlessly murky language for a simple question: how many countries are involved in making the rules?

So what is the reality behind this deliberately bland phrasing?

The Prime Minister is correct about one thing: international institutions are rapidly losing what little authority they once had. A multipolar world is re-emerging. The post-1990s global order is fracturing. We are entering an early phase of global conflict in which powerful nations carve out regional spheres of influence.

The superpowers—China, Russia, and the United States—now exert raw and soft power over the countries in their orbit. Think of it as lines being drawn in a geopolitical prison yard, where low- and mid-tier nations are expected to align with one of the dominant gangs.

That is why Carney's decision to deepen ties with China—strengthening partnerships in energy, security, and agriculture—is a high-stakes political maneuver. He is signalling that **Canada is prepared to hold the pocket for the Chinese Communist Party.**



Image depicts a fictional sign

Consider the moment we are in. Russia is violently attempting to assert control over Ukraine. The Middle East remains in perpetual instability. China has repeatedly declared its intention to reunify with Taiwan, presumably by force. And the United States has just conducted a regime-change operation by seizing a foreign head of state.

Regardless of how one feels about any of this, the point stands: **lines are being redrawn by force.** And **Canada has signalled alignment with China—the principal threat to our superpower neighbour.**

It is astonishing to think that the average CBC viewer likely feels some degree of pride that Canada is aligning itself with the Chinese *Communist* Party, while provoking the largest empire and military in human history—one with which we share the longest undefended border in the world—for essentially nothing.

Canada is reducing tariffs on Chinese electric vehicles from 100 percent to 6.1 percent. Doug Ford panicked, posting on X: "By lowering tariffs on Chinese EVs, this lopsided deal risks closing the door on Canadian automakers to the American market, our largest export destination, which would hurt our economy and lead to job losses."

Ford—who refused to back Poilievre, helped pave the way for Carney's victory, and actively undermined the Canada–U.S. relationship—is now complaining that his preferred candidate is signing bad deals with the only alternative to America.

It is also worth remembering that the Chinese Communist Party is deeply intertwined with every major Chinese corporation operating internationally. This means **Canada is effectively preparing to allow thousands of surveillance-capable vehicles—many of them self-driving—onto our roads.**

Carney himself admitted China is Canada's biggest security threat. **Imagine allowing a declared threat to deploy four-thousand-pound, sensor-laden machines freely within your borders.**

In exchange for reduced EV tariffs, China will lower tariffs on Canadian canola, lobster, crab, and peas. This may unlock nearly $3 billion in export orders for farmers and fish harvesters, but it is difficult to argue that this offsets the national security risks posed by Chinese EVs.

The Liberals have also signalled openness to Chinese investment in Canadian oil, natural gas, and offshore wind. In plain terms, **this means inviting the Chinese Communist Party to buy into critical national resources.**

So the balance sheet looks like this: Canada allows surveillance-capable vehicles to flood the country, opens its energy sector to communist ownership, and receives $3 billion in export orders—with guarantees only through 2026. China will also remove visa requirements for Canadian travellers. Yippee.

Even if the deal were not lopsided, it would still be reckless at a time when the United States has made clear it intends to reassert control over the Western Hemisphere and expel regimes aligned with Russia and China.

Even if you consume CBC religiously and believe every word they say about Trump, aligning with China while alienating the United States is not prudent. It is carelessness—bordering on insanity.

Which raises the final question.

What has opening Canada to the Chinese Communist Party actually produced in practice?

Let's take a look.

Sounding the Alarm

For more than three decades, Canadian intelligence

See 'Sidewinder Investigation' p.8

Advertisement

INJURED BY THE JAB?
FIGHTING CANCER?
You Don't Have to Do This Alone
Wellness Coaches • Natural Protocols • Real Answers
FREE 15 MIN CALL: 250.444.EZRA (3972)
EZRAHEALING.COM • In Hebrew, Ezra means "helper"
ezra healing

When Emergency Powers Become Normal

Why Canadians Are Losing Trust

By Kellie Auld

Canadians are often told that **extraordinary government powers are temporary, exceptional, and necessary** to address urgent threats. Yet recent experience suggests a troubling pattern: once granted, such powers are **rarely surrendered**, even when courts later question their use.

This pattern should concern **anyone who values democratic accountability**, regardless of political affiliation.

Over the past several years, Parliament has repeatedly authorized **broad discretionary authority** in the name of crisis management. The results have included **uneven enforcement of public-order measures**, inconsistent policing thresholds, selective application of regulatory and speech-related frameworks, and even **severe financial restrictions imposed without prior judicial determination**. In multiple instances, **Charter violations were acknowledged only after harm had already occurred**, with little meaningful remedy.



These are not isolated mistakes. **They are predictable outcomes** of legislation that relies on open-ended language, delegated authority, and post-hoc justification. When laws grant **wide discretion without clear limits**, enforcement inevitably becomes inconsistent. Equality before the law gives way to judgment calls, and **accountability becomes diffuse**.

What is especially troubling is what happens after such powers are found wanting. Even when courts determine that **emergency measures were applied inappropriately**, there is often **no legislative rollback**, no tightening of statutory language, and **no meaningful correction**. Extraordinary authority remains on the books, ready to be used again. Over time, **the exceptional becomes routine**.

This matters because **precedent is the real engine of governance**. Laws outlive governments. Powers normalized today are inherited tomorrow by institutions and officials with very different priorities. When Parliament remains silent or procedurally acquiescent in the face of overreach, **that silence functions as normalization**.

It is within this context that proposals for **new governance infrastructure**—particularly systems capable of rapid, identity-linked or financial enforcement—generate public anxiety. This concern is often dismissed as fear-mongering. **But fear is not the issue; trust is.**

Public trust does not hinge on assurances that future powers will be used wisely. **It depends on demonstrated restraint in the present.**

When past emergency authorities remain intact even after judicial criticism, citizens reasonably question whether **new tools will be constrained, proportionate, or reversible in practice**.

This is not an argument against public safety, nor against governments acting in times of genuine emergency. It is an argument for **limits that are real, not rhetorical**. Clear statutory thresholds, **meaningful parliamentary oversight**, automatic review, and **sunset provisions** are not obstacles to good governance—they are its foundation.

Democracy does not usually erode in dramatic fashion. **It hollows out incrementally**, through normalization of exceptional authority and quiet transfers of decision-making away from Parliament and the public. By the time citizens notice, they are often told that **the system has already moved on.**

Canadians should be asking a simple question before granting any new emergency or administrative power: **What happened the last time we did this?** Until governments show a willingness to **correct course and relinquish authority when it is misused**, skepticism is not cynicism—it is **civic responsibility**.

Kellie Auld is a retired communications specialist who spent nearly 20 years with the RCMP before becoming an HR consultant and later running a licensed investigations firm. Her legal and investigative background led her to question whether Canada is shifting from a democratic system toward an administrative state.

FREEDOM WINS!

- After decades of government-endorsed food pyramids that mysteriously aligned with Big Ag and ultra-processed junk, the US has flipped the script—putting real food back on top. **Protein, healthy fats, dairy, fruits, and vegetables now occupy the largest sections of the food pyramid.** While whole grains only occupy a tiny portion, refined sugars and processed sludge are totally out!

- The US Department of **Health and Human Services (HHS) has scaled back the childhood vaccine schedule—reducing routine, blanket recommendations from 17 to 11** while keeping all vaccines available and covered. By moving several shots into shared clinical decision-making, the policy signals an early but important shift away from one-size-fits-all medicine and toward parental choice, informed consent, and individualized risk assessment.

- **The Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms announced the launch of a national campaign urging the federal government not to reintroduce Bill C-63, the proposed Online Harms Act,** or any substantially similar legislation that would undermine freedom of expression, due process, and the rule of law in Canada. To help Canadians take action, the Justice Centre has created an online tool with a ready-to-send letter that goes directly to the Minister of Justice and the Prime Minister.

- Northwest Territories Justice Minister, Jay Macdonald, says **the Government of the Northwest Territories will join Manitoba, Alberta, and Saskatchewan, and will not take part in the federal gun buyback program.** He also stated that the RCMP will not take part in any confiscation activities. So far, Quebec is the only province backing the federal gun grab.

- Canada's **Federal Court of Appeal has upheld that the Government of Canada unreasonably invoked emergency powers during the Freedom Convoy protests**—ruling the situation never met the threshold of a national security threat.

- After declaring the Kamloops "unmarked graves" story an "irrefutable" national truth, lowering flags for months, and approving $12.1 million for exhumations that never happened. **Canada's Ministry of Crown-Indigenous Relations has been ordered to release long-sealed Kamloops files** after Information Commissioner Caroline Maynard ruled the department is unlawfully withholding records. To date, no attempt has ever been made to recover remains from the site in question.

- On January 22, 2026, **the United States formally completed its withdrawal from the World Health Organization (WHO),** following a one-year notice period triggered by an executive order signed by President Donald Trump on January 20, 2025. The decision was the culmination of years of concern over the WHO's conduct, governance, and credibility—concerns that were brought sharply into focus during the COVID-19 pandemic.

- A new federal lawsuit is challenging the pediatric vaccine establishment's long-held claims of unquestioned safety. **Children's Health Defense and five other plaintiffs have filed suit in Washington, DC, accusing the American Academy of Pediatrics of misleading families about the safety of vaccines for decades**—all while receiving funding from vaccine manufacturers and facilitating financial incentives to pediatricians with high vaccination rates.

- After a massive public backlash, **the UK government announced it is scrapping its plan for mandatory digital ID for those working in the UK.** Under the proposed legislation, anyone starting a job would have been required to show their digital ID.

- A BC Provincial Court has **vacated the COVID-era convictions of John Koopman, a Chilliwack pastor ticketed for holding in-person worship during lockdowns.** The Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms successfully argued that while churches were shut down, bars, gyms, restaurants, and salons were allowed to operate in person—an unequal application of the law. Koopman welcomed the correction, while noting the broader need for accountability over pandemic policies that violated fundamental freedoms.

- **Procter & Gamble has agreed to rein in its deceptive marketing of Crest fluoride toothpaste to young children,** following action announced by Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton. Under the agreement, Crest ads aimed at kids under six must now reflect age-appropriate toothpaste amounts, ending misleading visuals that implied a full strip was safe or recommended. A class action lawsuit is also underway alleging a blurred line between toothpaste and candy—potentially violating the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and state fraud statutes.

- **A Massachusetts father won a preliminary injunction after a school refused to let him opt his five-year-old son out of LGBTQ-themed materials.** A judge ordered the school district to ensure the child is not exposed to the disputed content, reinforcing parental rights, informed consent, and age-appropriate boundaries in education.

- The Donald **Trump administration has withdrawn the United States from 66 international organizations, treaties, and conventions,** including 31 tied to the United Nations, citing threats to national sovereignty and prosperity. Secretary of State Marco Rubio noted that many entities were wasteful, mismanaged, and captured by ideological agendas such as DEI, gender equity, and climate orthodoxy—prompting an executive-order review of bodies that *"no longer serve American interests."*

- The **US federal government has announced it will end financial incentives that pay physicians based on how many patients they vaccinate,** and is urging states to do the same. The shift removes profit-driven pressure from medical decision-making and reinforces informed consent.

- The Alberta Parents' Union is pushing for real **transparency in education, calling on the Government of Alberta to require school boards to record meetings, post minutes promptly, and make proceedings publicly accessible.** Backed by a parent-led petition, the effort demands an end to gatekeeping and closed-door decision-making—affirming parents' right to know how schools are governed and how decisions affecting their children are made.

The Battle of Billings Bridge

By Deidter Stadnyk

It's a shame to forget the lessons learned from the past. So every February, I take time to reflect on my time in Ottawa. The legacy of the Freedom Convoy serves as a lesson in the power of humanity when people work together to achieve a goal. Prominent in my memory is the day that Ottawa residents banded together to blockade a mini-convoy from reaching downtown. It has been coined "The Battle of Billings Bridge."

That's right, a full-on "battle." I found this out myself while researching Freedom Convoy history last year. There are multiple articles and blog posts about it, a song on Spotify, branded merchandise, and even a historical plaque commemorating the event in the Canadian Museum of History.

But history is written by the victors, so in this battle the word "convoy" is associated not with "freedom," but rather "fascism." To Ottawa residents, **The Battle of Billings Bridge** is hailed as the day they sent us "occupiers" back to where we came from. While I concede the victory, **I resent the bias.** So today's history lesson comes from me, the loser. I hope you enjoy.

February 13, 2022—Billings Bridge, Ottawa, ON (image submitted by the author)

It was a cold Sunday morning in Ottawa, February 13th, 2022. I'd just been relieved from nighttime sentry duty at **Basecamp Coventry**, the Freedom Convoy's logistical headquarters. By the time the sun was up, I had a hankering for hot food before I could collapse in my makeshift bed at the International Revival Church. MPP Randy Hillier was hosting a pancake breakfast downtown, so I joined a couple dozen vehicles heading to the city's core.

We drove down Riverside Drive, flags flying proudly in the frosty air, approaching our turn at Bank Street. The traffic slowed, came to a stop, and police cars blocked us from behind at Neil Way. I opened the door and stood up on the truck running board. I could just make out flashing police lights and a throng of people. **My pancake run was about to get a lot, lot longer.**

We were cut off.

Groups of protesters with signs like "Go Home Freedumb Convoy!" worked their way down the line of stranded vehicles. I could tell we were not among friends. Yet in the space between the mask and the toque, there was a familiar look. Inside was a tormented soul that knew no recourse but to take to the streets. It was angry and desperate. **That's why I was here too.**

I felt bad for them—and a little guilty. Our protest had so upset the residents that now they were here to protest us. **Here were two groups of Canadians pitted against one another as enemies.** Neither of us should have had to be here in the first place, but here we were.

I took the back of my own protest sign and scribbled a new slogan on the back. I hung it outside my passenger window for all to see. *"I'm sorry it came to this,"* was all it read. I was truly sorry we had disrupted lives, but **this was the last glimmer of hope** for me that things would get better for the unvaccinated. I was being increasingly punished by my government for not consenting to a medical experiment, while my friends looked the other way. **Nobody was going to save us. We had to take a stand.**

Time passed, and it became clear we weren't going anywhere as protestors marched up and down the line. I mused on the situation: stranded, far from home, reviled as the bad guy. **What would the good guy do?** If these people felt ignored, the best thing I could do was to listen to them. The crowd seemed ready to tear me apart, so I took a deep breath, exited the truck, and accepted my fate.

I popped down the tailgate, took a seat, and calmly waited. Everyone else was locked in their vehicles. My heart was racing. One of the organizers, a man my age, approached me and asked, *"Are you here with the convoy?"* I tried to answer him, but instead I broke down and started to cry.

And I mean bawling uncontrollably. Somewhere inside of me, a switch flipped, and two years of torment, struggle and pain all came crashing down at once. I didn't know where I was, or how long I'd be there, but these people were pissed at me, and I was just trying to do the right thing. **Now I'm in the middle of a standoff and in way over my head.**

"I never meant it to be like this!" I blubbered to this stranger. He reached out and put his hand on my shoulder. Two police officers came over and asked if I needed attention. The last thing I wanted right now was attention. The mainstream camera crews were making their way over, and here was this military veteran unceremoniously leaking tears all over his tailgate. I gathered myself enough to have a conversation with this man. I told him **I was just trying to get my fundamental rights back**. He told me we were consequently disrupting their lives. The news camera began filming our dialogue until they broke off mid-sentence to capture a scuffle two vehicles down. **I guess that makes better news than reconciliation does.**

My counterpart invited me for coffee and donuts on their side, and I accepted on the condition that he escorted me there. Walking adjacent, we entered the heart of their blockade of well over a hundred people. **I could feel my camo jacket sticking out like a sore thumb.** It didn't take long before people identified and surrounded me. They began to assault me with questions, like why I was here in Ottawa. I stayed cool and responded as plainly as I could. I told them about a child in my community who had died from the vaccine. *"That's not true,"* a masked man replied frankly. **I was stunned at his audacity** as I realized how great the chasm of our pandemic experience was.

It was noon when I returned to my truck, engaging with protestors. I let each passing group barrage me with angry questions until I could get a word in, and then we'd have a bit of dialogue. Some listened to my view while others stormed off. One woman tearfully hugged me, thanking me for exiting my truck. Another was bewildered that I had a degree in Fine Arts. *"You could be on our side,"* she exclaimed. Then they'd move on, a new group would approach, and the whole cycle started up again. **This went on for hours.** My truck battery died in the process, and a protestor kindly gave me a jump.

At one point, the slew of questions reached a roaring crescendo, too excited to be coherent. I was too burned out to answer anymore, so I interrupted them with a question of my own. *"You know what's happening here?"* They quieted, and I answered for them, *"Two years of conversation that never happened."* **The laptop class and the blue-collar boy stared at each other in silence.** We were kept separate for so long, dehumanizing each other over the internet. **Now our humanity was clearly visible** in the bright sun.

After hours of conversations, I understood how the people of Ottawa were affected by our downtown occupation. Most surprisingly, **I came to see that we agreed on an awful lot.** We were all frustrated with Trudeau, lockdowns, masks, and all the pandemic bullsh*t that had plagued our lives for the past two years. The one key difference was that they saw compliance as a way to end it all, while our side hailed rebellion as the answer. **At that moral impasse we found ourselves deadlocked at Billings Bridge.**

As the sun began to set, they allowed us to turn around and head back on the condition that we remove the flags from our vehicles. I should have refused, but after nine hours, **my resolve had eroded** enough to make me follow suit. I took down my hockey stick flag before the cheering crowd of Ottawans. I gave a friendly wave to the faces I'd befriended after being Stockholmed with them for the day. The police ushered me out through the crowd up Riverside Drive, back to where I came from.

I'm sure that day meant a great deal to the residents of Ottawa. **It was a true act of grassroots resilience and community orchestration.** As a fellow protester, I respect what they achieved. **I respect them as people**, caring for their community in their own way. I was fortunate to meet so many of them, hear their stories, shed tears, and exchange hugs. It was a moment in the Freedom Convoy when the sworn enemies of society touched together just long enough to realize we are all woven into the same tapestry, as we parted ways to our respective tribes. **The only thing I battled that day was exhaustion**, as I strove to reconcile differences between fellow Canadians at Billings Bridge.

That day taught me a big lesson: that we all have more in common with our adversaries than we care to admit. The resentment we reserve for each other only serves the powers that seek to divide us. **Social media drives that wedge even further.** But if we take the time to wade through our differences and scoop out the commonalities, **I think we'll find we can all work together to achieve prosperity in our communities.**

That was my lesson at Billings Bridge: **in the end, we were all fighting for the same thing.**

Deidter is a fine arts graduate turned pipefitter. He despises social media, but you can still email him at deidter@proton.me

Canada's Euthanasia Crisis In Plain Sight

By Sean Morgan | Substack.com/@SeanMorganReport

Recent research has drawn attention to Canada's medical assistance in dying program, known as **MAiD**. One highlights official data showing nearly **96% of those who choose to kill themselves through the program identify as Caucasian**—a figure that stands well above white Canadians' share of the overall population, which is **70%**. This disparity raises pointed questions. **Why are white Canadians wanting to commit suicide, and other races are not?**

A tragic case out of **Vancouver** has gained widespread attention: a **26-year-old man euthanized under MAiD**, reportedly for **depression combined with diabetes**. Critics note that **mental illness alone does not qualify until 2027**, yet practitioners somehow identify **loopholes to help depressed people kill themselves**. The young man's mother has publicly committed to **seeking accountability** because she did not agree with his assisted suicide.

These stories surface amid **MAiD's steady growth**. In **2024**, over **16,000 assisted suicides** occurred, representing roughly **5% of all deaths nationwide**. The program, legal since **2016**, has recorded **more than 76,000 deaths**.

Even the **U.S. government** is blowing the whistle on MAiD as an **organ harvesting scheme**. The **U.S. Department of Health and Human Services** calls out MAiD for using physician-assisted suicide programs to **bolster organ donation numbers**.

One can't help but wonder if this program is the culmination of a **godless secular society**—or if a **more sinister spirit** is behind the leadership in Canada that would make them want to **kill their own citizens**, and apparently, **mostly the Caucasian ones**.

Originally published at substack.com/@seanmorganreport

The Truth and Reconciliation Commission's Missing Voices

By James Balkwill

Was the Truth and Reconciliation Commission what it appeared to be? **My short answer is no.**

Reading this—"The Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada's mandate was to inform Canadians about the history and impacts of the Indian Residential School system, document the truths of survivors and affected communities, and guide reconciliation efforts for a better future"—would lead people to believe that the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's information-gathering and statement-taking process allowed *any and all* who attended an Indian Residential School to speak about their experiences, whether those experiences were negative or positive.



That would have been necessary to ensure a balanced intake process; otherwise, the final report would be inaccurate.

The problem is that when people with positive stories about their time at Indian Residential Schools came forward, **they were redirected and/or turned away.**

Why?

As an Indigenous person, if you or your family members had attended an Indian Residential School, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's highly publicized intake process—which ran for six years and included more than 400 outreach events and local and national "statement-gathering" sessions—was presented as an opportunity for anyone to share their experiences.

Those with stories of abuse, or who knew someone who had been abused while attending an Indian Residential School, were invited to tell their stories in what was presented as a safe, unquestioning environment and were eligible for financial compensation.

At the time the Truth and Reconciliation Commission conducted its intake process, more than 80,000 Indigenous people who had attended an Indian Residential School were still alive. In the end, only 6,750 individuals came forward to report abuse. That leaves more than 73,250 Indigenous individuals who apparently had no stories of abuse, but who may have had neutral or even positive experiences.

When the math is done, this represents an 8.38 percent complaint rate—a figure that, given that corporal punishment was legally permitted in Canadian schools at the time, is comparable to rates that could be expected in other educational institutions of that era.

At minimum, this suggests that the Truth and Reconciliation Commission failed to meet its own mandate, rendering its final report incomplete and inaccurate.

Despite the language of inclusivity in the commission's mandate, many former students were never given the opportunity to provide testimony simply because they were not abused. **As a result, the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's report contains a clear reporting bias and lacks balance.** From both an academic and evidentiary standpoint, it is therefore unreliable.

It is clear that despite the wholesome language surrounding its mandate, **the Truth and Reconciliation Commission operated with a hidden agenda**—namely, to construct a report designed to achieve a predetermined outcome, one that could later be used to influence court proceedings for monetary and political gain.

Governing The Digital Public Square

By Jonathan Harvey | BlendrNews.com

Canada is not losing its freedom through dramatic overnight enforcement. It's losing it quietly, inch by inch through **six laws that on their own sound "reasonable," but together fundamentally transform the relationship between citizens, the state, and free speech.**

It began with the Online Streaming Act, which pulled streaming platforms and **user-generated content under the authority of the CRTC.** For the first time, individual Canadians like creators, small businesses, and advocacy groups were effectively treated as broadcasters subject to government rules about what qualifies as "Canadian content" in what gets promoted or effectively buried online. Through mandated "discoverability" and **forced funding of state-approved content, the government handed regulators influence over what Canadians see here and share.**

Then came the Online News Act, sold as a way to support journalism. But in reality, **it broke the digital news ecosystem.** Meta blocked Canadian news entirely, slashing traffic to independent outlets, while Google negotiated a **$100 million annual payment plan** that funnels money into legacy media and government-approved independents. The result is **fewer opposing views, more centralized media, and a press corps increasingly reliant on state-sanctioned funding rather than public trust.**

Next in the pipeline is the Online Harms Act. Under the **emotionally unassailable banner of "protecting children,"** the bill proposes a powerful digital safety commission with authority to **force platforms to remove lawful speech, demand user data, conduct warrantless searches, and levy massive fines**, all with minimal parliamentary oversight. Even more alarming, it empowers human rights tribunals and judges to **punish Canadians for non-criminal speech**, including preemptive restrictions based on what someone might say in the future.

Now, before Parliament, is the Strong Borders Act, or Bill C-2, a **dramatically misnamed piece of legislation** that expands **warrantless access to subscriber data and metadata**, and not just for police, but for a wide range of government officials. It compels service providers to hand over private information without judicial approval, allows Canada Post to open mail without a warrant, and **even criminalizes large cash transactions.** This, of course, is **surveillance infrastructure, not border control.**

Alongside it sits the Critical Cyber Systems Protection Act, or Bill C-8, which gives government the power to **force telecom providers to cut people off from the internet entirely.** Under vague language about "interference" or "manipulation," the government will exert control over vast swaths of digital infrastructure, with enforcement triggered by **dissent, mislabeled "disinformation," or virtually anything**, because there's no meaningful oversight until after the fact.

And finally, the Combating Hate Act, or Bill C-9. This bill **lowers the bar for hate speech prosecutions** by removing the requirement for Attorney General approval and dramatically increasing penalties. It opens the door to **more Canadians being investigated, charged, and silenced over speech**, especially online speech, which is easily misinterpreted. **Religious expression, political activism, and protests are also on the chopping block here,** mirroring the UK's aggressive policing of online speech.

This is the **boiling frog model of governance**, a slow procedural and bureaucratic death. People will simply adapt until **one day they're cooked.**

So, Canada, this is your warning. **The window is closing,** and once it does, **I'm not sure we get a chance to reopen it.**

Originally published on Instagram @itsjonathanharvey

Druthers Community Classifieds

NEW BOOK. *Do-it-Yourself HOME CHRISTIANITY: How to Please God and Receive His Help, Without Preachers, Churches, or Money*, by independent, Canadian investigator Ralph T. Kenney. Be ready for whatever comes. First chapter & blog free at **ralph757.com**. Click white tab on upper right of website.

MAN seeking accountability and common sense @ **www.Peace-Man.ca**

LEARN HOMEOPATHY - EMPOWER YOURSELF Prepping isn't complete without health skills. Winter Course: Jan 6–Mar 17, 2026 Tuesdays on Zoom | Beginner-friendly Full notes included | Boiron remedy discounts + free storage drawers $335 or Pay-what-you-can 50% of funds donated to Canada Health Alliance Past students say: "College level value," "I now treat myself first," "Shirley makes complex material practical. Email **ShirleyGuertin@protonmail.com** for details and registration.

FIND OUT what officials won't tell you about our history in the Bible & its predictions for our national security now. Read The Death & Resurrection of the West Foretold in Prophecy, by independent, Canadian investigator Ralph T. Kenney. First pages & blog free at **ralph757.com.**

WHEN THE GRID GOES DOWN. YOUR POWER DOESN'T. Portable Deployable Military-Grade Solar Solutions that work when others fail. CUSTOMIZED SOLAR PACKAGES FOR YOU. offgridtrek.com 403-641-7173

Brain Health
shop.truehealthcanada.ca/true-hope-products
236-301-6444

Have your ad printed in 200,000 or more copies and distributed all over Canada. **druthers.ca/ads**

Alberta At The Crossroads

Three Paths, One Structural Reality

By Dennie Jared Frank

Alberta periodically revisits the question of sovereignty because it sits at the intersection of energy wealth, federal redistribution, and cultural alienation. Whether Alberta remains within Canada, becomes an independent nation, or joins the United States as a state, each option carries measurable advantages and hard constraints. The debate often focuses on identity and fairness, but **the deeper issue is power: who controls money, law, and enforcement.**

1) Alberta Staying in Canada: Stability with Structural Friction

Remaining within Canada offers Alberta continuity and predictability. Existing trade agreements, currency stability, national defense, international recognition, and mobility rights remain intact. Businesses avoid disruption, pensions and federal programs continue, and Alberta retains access to national infrastructure and capital markets. **For households, this path minimizes immediate risk.**

The downside is structural rather than emotional. Alberta remains subject to federal fiscal equalization, federal environmental and energy policy, and centralized regulatory power largely shaped by population-heavy provinces. **Resource revenues flow outward while policy authority flows inward.** From a systems perspective, Alberta carries disproportionate economic responsibility without proportional control.

2) Alberta as an Independent Nation: Sovereignty with Exposure

Independence offers maximum theoretical control. Alberta could design its own tax regime, energy policy, immigration system, and trade strategy. Resource revenues would remain internal. Regulatory alignment could be optimized for domestic priorities rather than federal compromise.

Symbolically, independence represents full political adulthood.

The costs are severe and immediate. Alberta would need to establish a currency or adopt another nation's, negotiate trade access, build national defense and border systems, assume full debt responsibilities, and maintain investor confidence during transition. Capital flight, legal uncertainty, and retaliatory trade measures are realistic risks. **Independence shifts control inward—but also concentrates exposure.** Elites do not disappear; they localize.

3) Alberta as the 51st U.S. State: Market Access with Corporate Gravity

Joining the United States would grant Alberta direct access to the world's largest consumer market, a deep capital pool, and a highly integrated energy infrastructure. Federal transfer payments would likely decline, taxation could become more competitive, and regulatory barriers around pipelines and exports could ease. **From a purely economic throughput standpoint, this option is attractive.**

However, Alberta would trade Ottawa's influence for Washington's. Political power would be diluted among more than fifty states. Corporate lobbying, financialization, and federal security agencies exert far stronger gravitational pull in the U.S. system. **This is not liberation—it is a jurisdictional swap.** Cultural autonomy would erode over time, and social policy conflicts would intensify.

The Constant Across All Three: Elite Capture

Regardless of configuration, one reality remains unchanged: **control flows toward concentrated power.** Monetary systems favour lenders. Regulatory systems favour incumbents. Political systems reward scale, not virtue. Whether governed from Ottawa, Edmonton, or Washington, Alberta would still operate within global finance, multinational supply chains, and elite-designed legal frameworks.

Borders rearrange authority; they do not dismantle incentive structures. Independence without monetary sovereignty still answers to banks. Statehood without antitrust reform still answers to corporations. Federalism without decentralization still answers upward. The illusion is that flags equal freedom. The truth is that systems outlive symbols.

What Any Honest Analysis Must Include

A serious public discussion must address currency control, capital mobility, energy ownership, legal supremacy, enforcement power, and trade leverage. **Without confronting who controls these systems, the debate remains theatrical.**

Conclusion: Choice of Manager, Not Escape

Alberta's three paths differ in risk profile, governance style, and cultural alignment—but **none automatically free the population from elite dominance.** The real question is not where Alberta belongs, but how power is constrained. Until monetary policy, regulatory capture, and political incentives are restructured, sovereignty debates merely determine which elite manages the system.

That is the uncomfortable truth beneath every flag.

Dennie Jared Frank is a Canadian author and advocate focused on exposing hidden societal and psychological control systems. His work emphasizes awareness as a means of personal empowerment and freedom. If you would like to connect with Dennie, please email him at moderndayslaverythegrandillusion@yahoo.com

---

The Dangerous Fantasy of “Approved Speech”

By Herbert Hildebrandt | TorontoCaribbean.com

Every time a government tells you, **“Relax, we're only banning hate speech,”** you should check your pockets and your freedoms, because both are about to go missing.

Bill C-9 is the newest attempt in Canada's long love affair with soft authoritarianism, and has its sights set squarely on **the religious exemption** that has (until now) kept pastors, rabbis, imams, and everyday believers from being dragged into court for quoting their own scriptures. Parliamentarians are adamant that it's necessary; I say it's **extremely dangerous**. Anyone who has even a passing interest in freedom, whether it's religious or otherwise, should be **deeply alarmed**.

Here's the truth: **one man's hate speech is another man's muzzle**. The moment the government gets to define the emotional impact of your words, your freedom is no longer a right, but a **permission slip**. Permission slips are the dream of technocrats. This smacks of **Orwellian-style thoughtcrimes and wrongthink**, enforced by the thought police (see *1984*).

We've been here before, and history books are overflowing with regimes that marched into the public square waving the banner of **“protecting citizens from harmful ideas”** while quietly sharpening the blade. **Stalin's USSR** criminalized “anti-Soviet agitation,” which mostly meant disagreeing with the government out loud. **East Germany** had “hostile propaganda” laws that swallowed poets, pastors, musicians, and teenagers who shared the wrong joke and eventually employed **one in six citizens as snitches for the state. Mao's China** imprisoned people for “counter-revolutionary speech,” a definition so elastic it snapped around anyone who raised an eyebrow at the Party.

What makes this version especially sinister is how **openly biased** it is. Let's not pretend the current political climate is neutral. **Anti-Christian sentiment in Canada's political class** is about as subtle as a marching band. The **Bloc Québécois**, under Yves-François Blanchet, has practically made hostility toward public expressions of Christianity a party plank.

You can express almost anything in this country **except a traditional Christian view**; that makes you a target. Meanwhile, other religious and ideological groups are handled with **museum-glove delicacy**. It's **selective outrage mixed with selective enforcement**, the hallmark of every regime that wants to reshape society without admitting it.

For the Canadians who say, **“I'm not religious, so this won't affect me,”** I have bad news: **the UK already tried that comforting delusion**, and it aged like milk in the sun.

Britain has been arresting people for **“malicious communications” and “hate incidents”** over social media posts; **tens of thousands of charges** have been filed. Police have raided homes, confiscated electronics, and interrogated people over memes. The case of **Enoch Burke**, the Irish teacher who refused to violate his religious beliefs and was jailed for it, is a **flashing warning sign**. When the state decides your conscience is an inconvenience, it doesn't matter whether that conscience comes from scripture, culture, or common sense. The outcome is the same: **your door can be kicked in for something you typed**.

What **Bill C-9** does is expand the government's ability to decide **which ideas are socially acceptable**. The moment religious exemption disappears, **every sermon, every pastoral conversation, every posted Bible verse** is fair game for reinterpretation by a bureaucrat who doesn't know the difference between **Leviticus and a Sparks Street lunch menu**. Once religious speech is criminalized, other convictions—such as **political, philosophical, and scientific**—won't be far behind. **Free speech is a single ecosystem**; you poison one corner and the whole thing dies.

The point here is about protecting **the oxygen of a free society**: the right to speak what you believe, without asking anyone's permission. The classic line often attributed to Voltaire (written by his biographer, Evelyn Beatrice Hall) captures it perfectly: **“I disapprove of what you say, but I will defend to the death your right to say it.”** This is not just a slogan; it is **the very foundation of a free society**.

Once you accept the idea that **certain viewpoints can be banned for being “offensive,”** you've accepted the idea that your own viewpoint can be banned when the political winds shift. **Today it's Christians. Tomorrow, it's parents** who speak up at school board meetings. **Next week, it's anyone questioning government policy.** That's how this game is always played.

Bill C-9 is not about safety; it's about control. If we don't push back now, we might be telling our grandchildren about the days when you could still speak freely in this country, before Parliament decided it knew better—and by then, **we won't be arguing about hate speech**.

We'll be **whispering about why we ever let our freedoms go**, singing hymns quietly in darkened living rooms, and reading the Bible in hushed tones for fear of the neighbouring snitches. You might have agreed with me, but now you think I am exaggerating, right? **That's exactly how my wife grew up in the former USSR in the late 80s.** They escaped communism in 1989 so they could worship freely and share their thoughts. When my relatives faced the roving bands of **Nestor Makhno** and escaped his clutches, they didn't arrive in Canada to be told how to pray.

God keep our land glorious and free.

Originally published at torontocaribbean.com

Medicalized Motherhood

From First Pill to Permanent Patient

Editor's Note: *The following piece is written by the author and serves as an overview of the book's themes and findings. The full work is published independently and available for free download at* ***Substack.com/@Unbekcoming.***

By Unbekoming

In 1975, a Baltimore gynecologist admitted to the *New York Times*: **"Some of us aren't making a living, so out comes a uterus or two each month to pay for the rent."**

A doctor, on the record, explaining why he removes women's organs. **Not because they're diseased. Because he needs the income.**

I encountered that quote in Robert Mendelsohn's *Male Practice*, one of two books that changed how I understood **medicine's relationship with women.** The other was Nora Coffey's *The H Word*, which documented what happened when the HERS Foundation gave over 5,000 women—whose doctors had recommended hysterectomy—access to second opinions. **Only 2% proceeded with the surgery. Ninety-eight percent of those hysterectomies were unnecessary.**

The pattern Coffey documented—**one intervention creating conditions for the next**—was the same pattern I later recognized in Dr. Amandha Dawn Vollmer's[1] work on obstetrics. **Modern obstetrics doesn't rescue women from dangerous births. It creates the dangers, then takes credit for the rescue.**

I started documenting interventions. **Twenty-two seemed comprehensive.** Then readers wrote in with practices I'd missed—the membrane sweep performed without asking, the "just in case" IV that led to the epidural that led to the cesarean. **The list grew to thirty-six, then fifty-five, then seventy-one.** By the time I'd finished an eight-part series, I'd documented **118 interventions** spanning pre-conception through postpartum. This edition adds five more—interventions that emerged from continued research after the original series—**bringing the total to 123.**

The scope surprised me. I expected unnecessary procedures clustered around labour and delivery. **I didn't expect to trace the capture back to a teenage girl's first birth control prescription, or forward to postpartum surveillance that transforms new mothers into permanent patients.**

The cascade runs longer than anyone admits. And it runs in one direction: toward dependency.

What's in the Book

Medicalized Motherhood: From First Pill to Permanent Patient[2] reorganizes that material chronologically, **following a woman from before conception through her first year as a mother.** The sequence matters. Interventions that seem isolated reveal their connections when placed in order. **The fertility app leads to the optimization protocol leads to the IVF cycle leads to the high-risk label leads to the induction leads to the cesarean.** Each phase prepares the ground for the next.

The Six Phases

Phase One: Before the Beginning—From birth control's legacy effects through fertility optimization, AMH testing, egg freezing, and IVF. **How the system captures women before conception.**

Phase Two: The Pregnant Patient—Ultrasounds, due dates, the "advanced maternal age" label, gestational diabetes testing, genetic screening, prenatal mental health screening, vaccines, and **the pharmaceuticals prescribed to manage the anxiety the system created.**

Phase Three: The Machinery of Birth—Membrane sweeps, induction, cervical ripening, artificial rupture of membranes, continuous monitoring, Pitocin, epidurals, "failure to progress," lithotomy position, episiotomy, forceps, vacuum, cesarean. **The cascade in its most concentrated form.**


Medicalized
MOTHERHOOD
From First Pill to Permanent Patient

Download this book for free at Substack.com/@Unbekcoming

Phase Four: The First Hours—Immediate cord clamping, suctioning, mother-baby separation, routine bathing, Vitamin K, hepatitis B vaccine, eye prophylaxis, circumcision, newborn screening. **How the system claims the baby.**

Phase Five: The First Year—Weight loss panic, formula supplementation, breastfeeding sabotage, tongue-tie diagnosis, reflux medication, well-baby visits, growth chart anxiety, developmental milestones, sleep training. **The infant as patient.**

Phase Six: The Mother Who Remains—Postpartum surveillance, mental health screening, medication, birth trauma, and **the transformation of a healthy woman into a permanent patient.**

The book documents **123 interventions across six phases**, with two synthesis chapters explaining the business model (**"The Newborn as Revenue Stream"**) and the ultimate outcome (**"The Manufactured Incompetence of Mothers"**).

Five interventions are new to this edition, filling gaps in the cascade:

AMH testing, which sells fertility anxiety through numbers that don't predict natural conception; **cervical length screening**, which converts normal variation into high-risk pregnancy; **the admission monitoring strip**, which initiates the labour surveillance cascade; **newborn sepsis workups**, where maternal interventions create newborn patients; and **the lactation consultant industry**, which professionalized knowledge that once passed freely between women.

One chapter is entirely new. "Reclaiming the Birth" did not appear in the original series. Readers asked the question the series left unanswered: **now what?** Understanding the cascade is necessary but not sufficient. The woman facing an induction recommendation next week needs more than analysis—**she needs questions to ask, language to use, a framework for deciding.** That chapter provides tools for interrupting the cascade: **three questions that create space for decision-making, language for declining, and guidance for distinguishing genuine emergencies from manufactured urgency.**

Practical Tools

The book includes appendices designed for real-world use:

- **Birth Plan Template**—Organized by phase, documenting your preferences in language providers recognize.
- **Questions Checklist**—A quick reference for the labouring woman and her support person. **The three questions, phrases that preserve options, how to distinguish emergency from manufactured urgency.**
- **Provider Interview Questions** — A guide for choosing a provider before the cascade begins. **The questions that reveal actual practice patterns, not marketing language.** Red flags and green flags. Because **switching providers at eight weeks is simple; switching at thirty-eight weeks is not.**
- **Quick Reference Card**—A single page to print, laminate, and bring with you. **The essential framework when you can't think clearly.**
- **After the Cascade**—For women reading this after a birth that didn't go as hoped. **What happened was not your fault.** Resources for processing birth trauma, information on VBAC, and a path forward.
- **The Cascade: A Visual Map**—Four diagrams showing how interventions connect. **The labour cascade, the newborn cascade, the breastfeeding cascade, the pre-conception cascade.** Sometimes seeing it changes everything.
- **International Notes**—How the cascade operates in the UK, Australia, Canada, and beyond. **What's universal, what differs, and resources for readers outside the United States.**

From the Archive

A final section—**"From the Lies Are Unbekoming Archive"**—collects many related pieces from my Substack: interviews with practitioners who've rejected the medical model (Dr. Stuart Fischbein, midwife Salli Gonzalez, Christiane Northrup, MD,[3] Laura Shanley on unassisted birth), summaries of books that shaped this work (Ina May Gaskin, Jennifer Margulis,[4] Dr. Amandha Dawn Vollmer), and a birth story from a close friend who applied these principles at Royal Women's Hospital (Australia).

Why Free?

This information belongs in the hands of every woman entering the system—and every partner, mother, sister, or friend supporting her. The cascade works because **women don't know they can interrupt it.** A book sitting behind a paywall doesn't reach the twenty-two-year-old whose doctor just scheduled her induction for "convenience."

Download it. Read it. Share it with someone who needs it.

The woman who understands how the cascade works can make different choices. That possibility is why this book exists.

---

[1] substack.com/@amandhavollmer
[2] substack.com/@unbekoming
[3] substack.com/@truenorthdr
[4] substack.com/@jennifermargulis

Originally published at substack.com/@unbekoming

Advertisement

RADICAL
DIGITAL AGENCY

WEB DESIGN - DIGITAL MARKETING
United by a belief in liberty, freedom, and individual sovereignty.

- Website Design & Development
- "DIY Do-It-Yourself" Marketing Tools
- "Done-for-you" Marketing Experts
- CRM Customer & Prospecting Database
- Graphic Design Print/Digital
- e-blast, e-Newsletter, e-mail campaign
- SEO Management, Google Ads
- E-commerce, Shopping Cart
- Ticket Sales, Appointment Calendar
- Social Media Management
- Graphic Design & Printing
- Trade Show Exhibits & Pop-Ups

33% OFF DRUTHERS DISCOUNT

We specialize in crafting tailored strategies that not only increase your online presence but also convert clicks into customers. Our team of digital experts combine creativity with data-driven insights, ensuring that your on-line presence is not just seen, but converts into new clients for your business.

416 695 0575 x330
www.radicaldigitalagency.com

The Invisible Interface: Graphene, Neurodata, and the End of Mental Autonomy

By Nate Dempsey

In 2016, World Economic Forum founder Klaus Schwab said the "Fourth Industrial Revolution" would fuse our physical, digital, and biological identities. Whether you treat that as a prediction or a blueprint, the direction is unmistakable: **the next big data frontier isn't your search history or your face. It's your nervous system.**

medical
infographic
28%

If one material sits at the center of this shift, it's graphene—and, at the nanoscale, graphene quantum dots (GQDs). These carbon-based nanomaterials are increasingly explored for biosensing, imaging, and biointerfaces because they can be engineered to interact with biology in ways older materials struggle to match.

That is exactly why the neurotechnology conversation can't stop at "brain data collection." It must also include the next step: **influence—what many people call mind steering.** The United Nations Human Rights Council's Advisory Committee warned that neurotechnologies raise unique risks to freedom of thought and mental autonomy, including risks of non-consensual external access to thoughts, emotions and mental states, and even the **direct alteration of mental processes.**

Why Graphene *Matters*

Graphene is a one-atom-thick sheet of carbon arranged in a honeycomb lattice. In practical terms, it *can be highly* conductive, flexible, and chemically tunable—traits that make it attractive for sensors designed to detect faint biological signals and operate near delicate tissue.

In neurotechnology, **"better interface materials"** isn't a boring engineering detail. It's the key that turns lab demonstrations into scalable products. When sensors become thinner, more sensitive, more biocompatible, and cheaper to manufacture, the technology stops being confined to hospitals and becomes **consumer-grade**—headsets, earbud-integrated sensors, workplace "fatigue monitoring," and neuro-marketing pipelines.

And once neuro-sensing becomes normal, the economic logic changes: **the system no longer asks permission in a meaningful way.** It simply becomes the standard that institutions quietly adopt.

Graphene at the Nanoscale

Graphene quantum dots are tiny fragments of graphene—often just a few nanometers wide. At this scale, they can have distinctive optical and electronic properties (including fluorescence) and can be "functionalized" with chemical groups designed to bind to certain molecules or tissues. In experimental contexts, researchers explore nanoparticle approaches for imaging, tracing, delivery, and sensing.

This is why the **"DARPA dust"** metaphor sticks in the public mind. Miniaturization changes the politics of technology:

- When a device is large and obvious, it's easier to regulate and harder to deny.
- When an interface becomes microscopic, the risks of **non-detectability, non-auditability, and plausible deniability** grow.

To be clear: the existence of research trajectories does not prove covert operational deployment against civilians. Claims of secret GQD tracking, mind reading, or cognitive tampering require strong, reproducible evidence. But the human-rights question does not depend on worst-case claims. It depends on the **direction of capability:** interfaces are becoming smaller, cheaper, and more intimate—and **governance is not keeping pace.**

The UN Warning

A crucial point often missed in public debate is that the risk landscape has two linked halves:

1. Neurodata extraction (collecting signals that reveal mental states, preferences, attention, emotion)
2. Neuro-influence (intervening in mental states—nudging, modulating, steering)

In Report A/HRC/57/61, the UN Human Rights Council's Advisory Committee flagged that "neurotechnologies can be socially disruptive because they may enable exposure of cognitive processes, allow direct alteration of mental processes, bypass conscious control or awareness, and enable non-consensual external access to thoughts, emotions and mental states"—while also being fueled by **"neurodata" collection at scale.**

That's the hinge: **data + influence.** If systems can infer your internal state accurately enough, they can do more than advertise to you. **They can optimize persuasion against you.**

Mind Steering, Explained

When people hear "mind steering," they often imagine a cartoon version—instant control, total puppetry. Real-world influence is usually **subtler**, and that's what makes it dangerous.

Mind steering can include:

- Manipulating attention (what you notice, what you don't notice)
- Shaping mood (stress, agitation, reward cues)
- Tuning decision environments (what options feel "safe," "obvious," or "urgent")
- Personalized persuasion powered by **intimate signal streams**

You don't need magical mind control for this to matter. You only need an **asymmetry:** systems that can model you better than you can model them.

Even today, consumer ecosystems use behavioural data to predict and shape choices. As sensing becomes more intimate—voice analysis, eye tracking, physiology, and eventually brain-adjacent signals—the precision of those models increases. The OSCE has pointed out that neurotechnology-based products can make **"brain data" accessible to technology companies,** raising consequences for freedom of thought, while other sensor technologies can indirectly collect neural-activity-related data and infer mental states.

The Accountability Gap

Graphene-based interfaces—especially at the nanoscale—raise a governance problem that older tech didn't: **verification.**

A smartphone can be inspected. A software system can (sometimes) be audited. But nano-enabled sensing, undetectable by standard MRI scanning, introduces hard questions:

- How does an ordinary person verify what's interacting with their biology?
- Who sets detection standards?
- Who funds independent labs?
- What penalties exist for **undeclared materials, undisclosed sensing, or coercive deployment?**

If the interface can become invisible, the public must have rights not just to **"privacy"** in theory, but to **detection and audit in practice.**

When Consent Collapses

Neurotech begins with moral clarity: therapy. Then it becomes optimization. Then it becomes competitiveness. Then it becomes **baseline infrastructure.**

At that stage, consent is structurally coerced: refusal isn't punished by police; it's punished by the **labour market, education systems, insurance scoring, and social participation.**

This is exactly where the UN framing matters. The **"right to freedom of thought"** includes protection from coercion and from impermissible alteration of thoughts; it's not just about what you say out loud—it's about the **inviolability of mental autonomy.**

Neurorights Framework

If we're serious about preventing abuse, neurorights must be enforceable and testable. For the graphene/GQD era, five pillars are non-negotiable:

3. **Mental privacy (neurodata is not a commodity):** Brain-derived and brain-adjacent signals must be treated as highly sensitive. Collection, sale, and secondary use must be tightly limited and auditable.
4. **Mental integrity (no covert modulation):** A hard ban on non-consensual stimulation or manipulation intended to alter emotion, attention, or decision-making—especially where it bypasses awareness or exploits vulnerability.
5. **Cognitive liberty (freedom from coercion):** People must not be economically forced into neural monitoring or interfaces as a condition of work, education, or public services.
6. **Informed consent (no checkboxes):** Consent must be prior, free, informed, and revocable—with plain-language disclosure of what is collected, what is inferred, and how influence can occur.
7. **Detection and audit rights:** Independent testing standards, third-party audits, meaningful penalties for misuse, and publicly supported access to verification pathways—so that trust is built through **evidence, not reassurance.**

Drawing the Line Now

Graphene and graphene quantum dots are not "evil." They are **powerful materials with genuine scientific promise.** But when materials optimized for sensitive biointerfaces enter an economy optimized for surveillance and persuasion, the default outcome is predictable: **more extraction, more prediction, more influence—unless law stops it.**

The UN's warning is the right lens: neurotechnology risks are not only about reading the mind. They are about the conditions that make **remote steering—subtle, scalable, and hard to prove—plausible enough to demand guardrails now.**

If we wait until neuro-sensing is normalized and institutional dependence is locked in, then **"consent"** will be a story we tell ourselves after the fact.

Now is the moment to insist on **neurorights, transparency, and verification**—before the fusion becomes infrastructure, and infrastructure becomes fate.

Read the complete article at refugeecanada.net/4ir

Advertisement

The only civil liberties organization to call for an end to harmful and unscientific Covid lockdowns.

Justice Centre
for Constitutional Freedoms

@jccfcanada www.jccf.ca

Sidewinder Investigation

Continued from p.1

and law enforcement agencies have warned the Liberal Party of Canada that China is pursuing what amounts to a Trojan horse strategy.

In the mid-1990s, a small joint task force drawn from CSIS and the RCMP launched an investigation codenamed Sidewinder. Its purpose was to examine the growing nexus between Chinese business tycoons, triad criminal organizations, and the Chinese Communist Party.

What investigators uncovered was not incidental corruption, but a coordinated strategy. **Chinese intelligence was using corporations and wealthy businessmen—many with direct ties to China's military—to secure leverage inside Canada's economy.** Economic penetration was the means; political influence was the end.

Mao Zedong, the founder and ruthless dictator of the Chinese Communist Party, once reportedly laughed that China had *"a friend in America's backyard."* From the outset, Beijing's engagement with Canada was never merely commercial. It was about establishing influence within the United States' sphere.

Sidewinder also revealed something darker than conventional lobbying or diplomacy. **The report alleged that major Chinese triads, including the 14K and Sun Yee On, were operating in partnership with the CCP and Chinese corporations.** Investigators would later describe this arrangement as an "unholy alliance."

But as Sidewinder neared completion, it was abruptly shut down.

Senior officials claimed the evidence was insufficient. Others suspected political interference. The final report was heavily redacted, then sealed. When a version leaked in 1999, it triggered brief public outrage—only for the Liberal government to dismiss its significance and resume business as usual.

That decision cleared the runway for decades of Chinese interference in Canada: pressure on Parliament, penetration of academia, influence within media, and vulnerabilities inside the military. It also coincided with the expansion of fentanyl trafficking and industrial-scale money laundering—both consistently tracing back to entities linked to the Chinese Communist Party.

In the wise words of Ayn Rand, "we can ignore reality, but we cannot ignore the consequences of ignoring reality."

A Long List of Scandals

Fully detailing every documented instance of CCP subversion in Canada would require more bandwidth than Substack can offer. Instead, here is an exhaustive list, with each item reduced to its essentials:

Election Interference

According to CSIS, in both the 2019 and 2021 federal elections, Chinese consulates worked actively to defeat "unfriendly" MPs — especially Conservatives who took a hard line on foreign interference.

Kenny Chiu, a Conservative MP who introduced a bill calling for a foreign agent registry, was one such target. A coordinated disinformation campaign spread through Chinese-language social media helped unseat him — and many others.

Eleven candidates in the 2021 election were suspected to have benefited from Beijing's support.

Former CSIS officials said that all federal governments over the past three decades have been warned about China's attempts to influence elections and have failed to properly respond to the threat.

Michel Juneau-Katsuya, CSIS's former chief of the Asia-Pacific unit, claimed every government has been infiltrated by "agents of influence" from China and every government has taken decisions that can only be explained by the successful influence from these internal agents.

Political Hostages

In 2018, Canada arrested Meng Wanzhou of Huawei on a U.S. extradition request. Within days, Michael Kovrig and Michael Spavor were detained by China in what was plainly hostage diplomacy. For three years, Canada denied the obvious while Beijing applied pressure.

Then came the allegation that Han Dong, a sitting Liberal MP, advised Chinese officials not to release the two Michaels because doing so would help Conservatives politically. A Canadian lawmaker urging a hostile foreign power to keep Canadians imprisoned for partisan gain.

That turns foreign coercion into domestic collaboration—and exposes how party loyalty can override national duty when institutions lose their moral spine.

CANADA: THE NEW WORLD ORDER?
Is Canada Becoming China's 24th Province?

Fictional Image of Mark Carney and Xi Jinping

Jean Chrétien's Shady Funding

Former Prime Minister Jean Chrétien was a longtime advocate of closer ties with China, significantly expanding Canada's relationship with the CCP during his tenure. What is less widely understood is the extent to which Beijing actively courted Chrétien and the Liberal Party.

In *Wilful Blindness*, journalist Sam Cooper details allegations involving a "shady immigration-consulting business" that funnelled large sums of money into Chrétien's riding. The scheme reportedly included proposed investment in a money-losing hotel located in that riding—an enterprise in which Chrétien himself allegedly held a business interest.

Compromised Embassy Staff

Reporting by Fabian Dawson for the Vancouver Province, dating back to 1999, alleges that as many as 30 Canadian officials may have taken gambling cash from "Triad figures" and had "since gone on to become senior government officials."

An RCMP apparently made a "deliberate choice not to pursue an investigation into possible criminal wrongdoing" because the RCMP "didn't want to anger the Canadian Department of Foreign Affairs."

Operation Dragon Lord

In 1998, the U.S. Department of Justice launched a multi-agency investigation involving the FBI, CIA, NSA, and DIA known as **Operation Dragon Lord**. Its findings were blunt: Canada had become a gateway for Chinese criminal, corporate, and political operations across North America.

The investigation named prominent Canadian figures and institutions, including Paul Desmarais, Peter Munk, Jean Chrétien, and the Canada-China Business Council. A classified memo went further, describing Canada not as an ally, but as a liability in confronting hostile foreign operations targeting the West.

Operation Fox Hunt

Beginning in 2014, Chinese police launched a global campaign known as **Operation Fox Hunt**, publicly framed as an effort to track down corrupt officials. In practice, it functioned as a smokescreen for pursuing dissidents and intimidating critics of the Chinese Communist Party.

Fox Hunt operatives effectively acted as extraterritorial law enforcement, operating inside foreign borders. They filmed these pursuits and repurposed them as propaganda, broadcasting a warning to Chinese expatriates and citizens abroad who might consider challenging the CCP.

Winnipeg Lab Leak

In 2019, two scientists were escorted out of Canada's National Microbiology Laboratory—a biosafety Level 4 facility—by the RCMP. This is where Canada stores and studies its most dangerous pathogens, including Ebola, henipa, and coronaviruses.

CSIS later uncovered years of covert collaboration between the two scientists and China's top bioweapons researchers, including senior officers of the People's Liberation Army (PLA). Including shipping 15 deadly viruses to the Wuhan Institute of Virology, the same lab which COVID appears to have originated from. Despite repeated intelligence warnings, the Liberal government refused to release unredacted documents demanded by Parliament and allowed the scientists to return to China, where they continue gain-of-function research.

Collaborating with Military Scientists

"Between 2005 and 2022, researchers at fifty Canadian universities—including all of the major institutions mentioned—conducted research and published papers with scientists tied to the People's Liberation Army."

"Between 2018 and 2023, researchers at Canada's ten leading research universities published more than 240 papers on government-designated sensitive topics with PLA scientists affiliated with the National University of Defense Technology."

Source: Under Assault by Dennis Molinaro

Stealing Academic Research

Ben Fung, a professor at McGill University, has described the CCP's "feed, trap, and kill" model for influence operations within Western universities. First, a target is "fed" by having a need—typically financial—satisfied. Once dependence is established and a professor or institution becomes reliant on CCP-linked funding, the "trap" is set. The final stage is the "kill": leveraging that financial dependence to extract intellectual property.

By 2019, Huawei alone was funding roughly $50 million in academic research across Canada. The University of Toronto, the University of Waterloo, the University of British Columbia, and at least ten other major research institutions signed agreements that resulted in intellectual property developed in Canada flowing into CCP-linked hands—much of it with direct military applications.

Funding Foreign Infrastructure

The Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank was established by China in 2016. The United States openly discouraged its allies from joining. Canada ignored those warnings and became a member in 2018.

Over the next five years, Ottawa committed roughly $1 billion in taxpayer funds to the institution before freezing its involvement in 2023. Bob Pickard, the AIIB's Canadian global communications director, later alleged that the bank was effectively under the control of the Chinese Communist Party.

Pickard claimed CCP officials "ran amok at the bank" and said he never observed "a single benefit to Canada, Canadian taxpayers, or Canadian enterprises."

The Vancouver Model

Chinese criminal networks have assisted wealthy Chinese businessmen in moving vast sums of money into Canada through underground banking systems—a scheme internationally known as the "Vancouver Model."

The mechanics are straightforward. A businessman hands over money to a triad-connected intermediary in China. He then travels to Canada, where affiliates of that network deliver the equivalent amount in cash. These funds are often the proceeds of criminal activity: drug trafficking, loan sharking, embezzlement, and fraud.

Once inside Canada, the money is laundered through casinos, real estate, and luxury goods. The result has been the distortion of entire markets—most notably housing—pricing ordinary Canadians out of their own cities.

Kompromat Operations

CSIS and Toronto Police believe that Canadian politicians have been exposed to blackmail in illegal casinos run by Chinese organized crime.

After drug money is laundered, it flows into real estate. Some high-end homes are converted into underground gambling dens or brothels. Canadian politicians are then invited, wined, dined—and recorded.

Those politicians, now compromised, steer policy, stifle investigations and advocate for deeper ties with Beijing.

Cansino Chaos

In 2020, a Canada–China collaboration on a COVID-19 vaccine collapsed after Canadian taxpayers had

See 'Taxpayers Out $44 Million' p.9

The Performance of Thriving

By Melanie | Substack.com/@MelanieInSaskatchewan

Mr. Carney,

You stood at a podium [of The World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland, January 22, 2026], and told Canadians that this country thrives because we are Canadian.

It was a beautiful line. Polished. Applause ready. **It was also insulting.**

Because it confuses **thriving with surviving**, and only someone who has never had to do either could make that mistake so confidently.

Canadians do not thrive the way you describe. **We endure. We adapt. We make do. We get through.**

We get up early not because it is inspiring, but because **bills do not care about speeches**. We work late not because it is fulfilling, but because **standing still is not an option**. We shovel our own driveways because help is expensive, unreliable, or nonexistent, and we still show up on time.

That is not thriving, Mr. Carney. **That is survival with dignity.**

We survive when systems fail. We adjust when costs rise. We absorb broken promises and carry on anyway. **There is no applause line for that**, because survival does not photograph well.

We survive because farmers plant knowing Ottawa might change the rules halfway through the season. Because tradespeople build while being taxed, regulated, and lectured by people who have never risked payroll on a slow month. Because parents budget groceries like a tactical exercise and still manage to raise decent kids **without permission from a federal narrative**.

We survive because Canadians are practical. When something breaks, **we fix it ourselves**. Not because we *want to*, but because waiting for government help usually means waiting forever. Or being told **the service exists on paper**.

You speak of thriving while Canadians quietly ask **which services you are referring to.**

Healthcare that exists in theory. Housing plans that never house anyone. **Affordability programs that arrive long after the damage is done.**

We survive because communities step in when institutions step back. **Not because systems are strong, but because neighbours are.** We rely on each other because experience has taught us **not to rely on governments** that measure success by how well they explain failure.



We survive because small businesses stayed open through **lockdowns, fines, shortages, and paperwork that multiplied faster than revenue**. Because families **absorbed inflation while being told it was temporary**. Because seniors adapted quietly to **shrinking purchasing power** while politicians assured them relief was coming.

You call this thriving. **Canadians call it getting through.**

We survive because we know how to get through winters. Literal ones and political ones. **We stock up. We brace ourselves.** We do not expect rescue, especially from people who have never had to wait for it.

We survive because we question authority. Just ask the Freedom Convoy. Canadians have **an instinctive allergy to being ordered around** by people who exempt themselves from the consequences. We remember what happens when **compliance is mistaken for unity.**

We survive because we do not confuse slogans with reality, no matter how high the elbows go or how loudly we are told to clap. **We know the difference between leadership and performance.** Between patriotism and appropriation.

And while governments waste money, restrict rights, censor speech, divide citizens, and congratulate themselves, **Canadians quietly keep the country functioning anyway.**

That is not thriving. **That is resilience under pressure.**

So when you tell Canadians they thrive because they are Canadian, **it lands differently** on those of us who have actually lived it.

Because confusing survival with thriving is easy **if you have never had to survive.**

And that is the problem.

Mark Carney speaks of thriving from a life buffered by boards, institutions, and global forums. **A life spent above the consequences** does not teach you the difference between getting ahead and just getting through.

Those who have never had to survive often **mistake endurance for success** and then try to take credit for it.

So no, Mr. Carney.

Canadians are not thriving because of you.

We are surviving despite a government that made life harder, more expensive, more divided, and then attempted to **dress our endurance up as its achievement.**

Our resilience is not your accomplishment.

It is proof of a people who carried each other while being lectured by someone who does not recognize the difference.

And Canadians are done applauding the performance.

My advice to you Mr. Carney?

Before defining Canadians, try surviving as one.

As always,
Melanie in Saskatchewan

Originally published at substack.com/@melanieinsaskatchewan

Taxpayers Out $44 Million

Continued from p.8

invested $44 million in the project. Chinese authorities refused to approve the vaccine for export, despite authorizing similar shipments to countries such as Russia, Pakistan, and Chile.

Some observers believe the project unraveled after China obtained the data it sought; others point to diplomatic fallout following the arrest of Huawei executive Meng Wanzhou. Regardless of the motive, the outcome was the same: China failed to uphold its end of an agreement that cost Canadian taxpayers $44 million.

The "Uncatchable" Criminal

In 2015, Paul King Jin—a suspected kingpin of Chinese transnational organized crime and the central figure in multiple major investigations—had a private meeting with newly elected Prime Minister Justin Trudeau.

Jin was a key target in the E-Pirate probe, Canada's largest-ever money laundering case, which "mysteriously" collapsed in court. He was also granted standing in the Cullen Commission—the public inquiry meant to expose the very corruption he was accused of orchestrating.

U.S. intelligence flagged him as a key figure in *Sam Gor*—a vast Asian drug syndicate pushing meth, fentanyl, and heroin across the Americas. This is the same cartel that's been called "Asia's El Chapo network," linked to Chinese state actors and laundering billions in dirty money through Western cities.

Paul King Jin has never been charged with a crime in Canada.

Despite surveillance footage. Despite international alerts. Despite being caught in Panama traveling under an alias. When customs officials flagged discrepancies in his identity and deported him back to Canada, the CBSA had a file waiting for him. But no interview. No follow-up. Nothing.

Even U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken raised the alarm. According to Port Coquitlam Mayor Brad West, Blinken was "dismayed" at Canada's failure to prosecute Jin and dismantle Chinese crime networks.

In any functioning democracy, a prime minister caught meeting with a major crime suspect under active police surveillance would spark national outrage. In Canada... it barely made a ripple.

Nortel "Hacked to Pieces"

Canada's crown jewel in telecommunications, Nortel—once responsible for carrying roughly 70 percent of the world's internet traffic—became the target of sophisticated cyberattacks traced to Chinese IP addresses.

> ***"The progress that we have made sets us up well for the new world order"***
> ***—Mark Carney***

Trade secrets, product roadmaps, and research breakthroughs were siphoned off. By 2009, Nortel had collapsed into bankruptcy. Many attribute the company's downfall to internal mismanagement, a claim that is plausible and incomplete.

Because as Nortel fell, a new competitor rose.

Huawei—virtually unknown on the global stage just a decade earlier—suddenly emerged with technologies strikingly similar to Nortel's. Ottawa, despite mounting evidence and mounting stakes, did nothing.

Bounties for Political Opponents

The Joe Tay–Paul Chiang scandal shook Canada's 2025 election with a reminder of how foreign repression and domestic politics can mix poorly. Joe Tay is a Hong Kong-Canadian activist, former entertainer, and Conservative candidate who drew a $1 million bounty from Hong Kong police under that territory's national security law—widely seen as transnational repression.

During the campaign, Paul Chiang, then the Liberal MP for Markham–Unionville, told Chinese-language media someone could turn Tay over to the Chinese consulate to collect that bounty—a remark that was condemned across parties and triggered an RCMP review of whether it amounted to counselling kidnapping.

Chiang apologized but soon withdrew from the election race. Tay rejected the apology, called the comments dangerous, and even sought police protection.

Welcome to the "New World Order"

Taken together, none of this looks like coincidence. The scandals outlined above are only a sampling of a pattern that has been visible for decades—and ignored at every turn.

I briefly considered turning this into an absurdly long article to fully convey the depth of CCP corruption and influence in Canada. But eight months ago, we released a feature-length documentary, *The Silent Dragon: How China Conquered North America Without Firing a Single Shot*, which lays out the evidence in far greater detail. For anyone who still needs more, it is available to watch for free.[1]

This is what the so-called "new world order" actually looks like. Not tanks rolling in, but institutions captured. China's intentions were never hidden. They were accepted—sometimes for ideological reasons, sometimes for economic ones, often for convenience.

The question now is no longer whether the Chinese Communist Party has influence in Canada. That has been settled.

The real question is how the United States will respond to a once-trusted neighbour openly inviting its primary adversary into America's backyard.

1. youtube.com/watch?v=Yl3i7cnTDk0

Originally published at BlendrNews.com

COVID Human Rights Challenge Dismissed as Courts Avoid the Policy Itself

By Robert Milton

Judicial Review – Court of King's Bench of New Brunswick (Case No. MM-148-2025)

From the very beginning, I believed something simple: **if a government policy affects peoples bodies, livelihoods, and dignity, it should be examined carefully, openly, and honestly.** I did not set out to become a whistle-blower. I raised concerns because I believed they mattered, and because I believed that in Canada, raising concerns respectfully would lead to discussion and fairness.

That is not what happened.

The policy at the centre of my case explicitly treated unvaccinated workers differently. It stated in writing that unvaccinated employees would be disciplined, removed from work, and subjected to invasive testing. This was not subtle or hidden. **The entire country witnessed it.**

Workers were ordered to undergo deep nasal swab testing—a test pushed so far into the nose that people cried and bled. I personally know people who were injured by it. In schools, these tests were not administered by medical professionals. School staff with no medical training were ordered to perform them, including on children. **This created coercion, fear, and pain.**

The policy targeted a specific group of people based on medical status.

That is discrimination by definition.

From day one, I stood up not just for myself, but for Canadians who were pressured into medical procedures that were still experimental at the time. I was terminated **before there was any fully approved vaccine or testing**, and at a time when **New Brunswick was not in a declared state of emergency.**

These are not opinions—they are facts on the record.

At the time I was terminated, **both the vaccines and the testing regimes were authorized for emergency use only.** There was no fully approved vaccine, and no fully approved testing program. **These facts are now part of the court record.**

Although my union filed a grievance, **the most important parts of my case were never properly presented.** Key emails were not brought forward. A witness who could confirm what happened was never called. The employer presented no witnesses at all. **No one was required to explain or defend the policy itself.**



My termination was eventually ruled unjust. But because **the policy was never challenged**, that finding did not restore me in any real sense. Instead of compensation, my termination was converted into five months of unpaid leave and made subject to mitigation, meaning no real compensation at all.

I was not asking for damages. **I was asking for basic compensation.** Unpaid leave and mitigation are unpaid wages. **A severance for being forced to retire is basic labour law.**

Because the union would not challenge the policy or seek judicial review, **I felt forced to retire from my career** to break free from its jurisdiction so I could seek justice on my own. **I was offered no severance or retirement package.**

The Human Rights Commission said I did not establish a prima facie case of discrimination. Yet **the policy itself provides that prima facie case.** The Province of New Brunswick's own court filings set out the same facts. **Unvaccinated workers were treated differently. The entire country saw it.**

At every stage, responsibility was deferred:

- The Province ignored the human rights implications.
- The employer followed the policy.
- The union declined to challenge it.
- The arbitrator treated it as settled.
- The Human Rights Commission declined jurisdiction.
- **The court deferred to process.**

The judicial review has now been dismissed.

That ruling does not mean the policy was lawful. It does not mean the discrimination did not happen. **It means the policy itself was never judged.**

After years of proceedings, **I was left worse off and then ordered to pay costs.** The Province of New Brunswick sought costs against me, and the court granted them. **It is important to say this clearly:** the union did not seek costs against me, and the Human Rights Commission did not seek costs against me. **Only the Province did.**

After losing my income, being forced to retire without severance, and exhausting every available process to have the policy examined, **I was ordered to pay for trying.**

This was never just about me or my family. Every Canadian was affected by these policies. I tried, as one person, **to force the issue into the open** so it could be properly examined.

I encourage anyone who wants to understand this issue properly to obtain copies of my exhibits and my **Book of Authorities from the court.** They contain the documentary evidence, timelines, and case law relied upon in this matter.

I am stepping away now due to exhaustion and costs I cannot afford. But I leave the record behind.

My treasures are not material things. They are spiritual things. They are worth far more than money.

The story now belongs to the public.

For more information, please email robertcmilton@live.com

Bill C-9: The Quiet Coup Against Every Canadian's Right to Speak, Believe and Disagree

By Ted Kuntz | VaccineChoiceCanada.com

> *"Few men are willing to brave the disapproval of their fellows, the censure of their colleagues, the wrath of their society. Moral courage is a rarer commodity than bravery in battle or great intelligence. Yet it is the one essential, vital quality for those who seek to change a world which yields most painfully to change."*
>
> —Robert F. Kennedy, 1966

Let's be clear. **Bill C-9 is a censorship bill.** Its purpose is to restrict open and honest debate in this country. It is a political weapon to silence dissent, disagreement, or facts that the government finds inconvenient or at odds with its agenda. **This bill attacks the very foundation of a free and democratic society.** It declares that the state is "the authority" to decide what is acceptable speech and what is not.

This bill isn't drafted at the request of Canadians. **Canadians love free speech.** Canadians love to express their opinions, likes, and dislikes. Canadians like to share their perspectives, their ideas, and their concerns. **The ability to speak freely is what people appreciate about living in a free country.**

The federal government is at war with us. This government is at war with our Charter of Rights and Freedoms. It is declaring that it will decide what speech is acceptable and what is not. It wants to become the moral authority in this country. This government is intent on replacing God. **It is destroying what makes a Western nation great and the envy of people around the world.**

This isn't the beginning of censorship. **This bill is the final takedown of free speech.**

We experienced egregious government censorship over the last five years. Those who disagreed with the government's response to COVID were **attacked, jailed, deprived of their livelihoods, vilified in the press, and had their bank accounts frozen.**

A few examples of those who experienced the boot of tyranny include Dr. Charles Hoffe, Dr. Byram Bridle, Dr. Mark Trozzi, Dr. Patrick Phillips, Dr. Mary O'Connor, Dr. Stephen Malthouse, Dr. Roger Hodkinson, Dr. Francis Christian, Pastor Artur Pawlowski, Tamara Lich, Chris Barber, Randy Hillier, and many more. **The censorship imposed by governments and the courts during COVID failed us miserably.** Unscientific and unsubstantiated measures were imposed because **debate, discussion, and evidence were denied.**

But it is not just those who disagreed with the government's response to COVID who are censored and silenced.

See 'Kamloops Mass Grave?' p.11

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of *DRUTHERS*. All source links and references can be found at druthers.ca/articles

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute *DRUTHERS*.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

truth·love·peace·freedom
DRUTHERS
Est. 2020

Please donate kindly:
druthers.ca/donate
Or send an e-transfer to:
admin@druthers.net
Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to:
Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531 Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Distributed independently & completely free of charge.
February 2026 circulation: 200,000 copies Canada-wide
Original content *DRUTHERS* 2026©
Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason
Administrator: Christie-Lynn
Editor: Michelle
Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong
Ads Manager: Dr. Jeffrey Overall | **ads@druthers.net**
Extra Special Thanks to: Kristy, Bob, and many more...
Comments & General Inquiries: **info@druthers.net**
News Tips & Article Submissions: **editor@druthers.net**

"Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on."

– Shawn Jason

Canada's Wireless Classrooms Run on Safety Limits That Ignore Children

By Nicholas Wallace

> *"Several steps in these procedures require scientific judgment, e.g., on reviewing the scientific literature and determining appropriate reduction factors."*
>
> *—ICNIRP (2002)*

In classrooms across Canada, invisible radiation fills the air—Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, laptops, and now phones. It may feel normal, but normal is not the same as safe.

For over two decades, Health Canada has relied on guidelines written by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). Its 2002 publication "General Approach to Protection Against Non-Ionizing Radiation" defines how national exposure limits are set.

Buried in that document are statements every parent should read twice:

1. "ICNIRP provides general practical information... it recognizes the need for further technical advice on special exposure situations,"
2. "Different groups in a population may have differences in their ability to tolerate NIR [non-ionizing radiation] exposure. For example, children, the elderly, and some chronically ill people..."
3. "It may be useful or necessary to develop separate guideline levels for different groups within the general population, but it may be more effective to adjust the *guidelines* for the general population to include such groups."
4. "Because adverse consequences of NIR exposure can vary from trivial to life-threatening, a balanced judgment is required before deciding on exposure guidance."

Those statements disclose risks concealed from the public: existing radiation exposure limits were not built for children and may be very unsafe. They address short-term tissue heating and ignore biological risks that occur well below heating levels. They also ignore slow biological changes, mutagenic effects, and neurological disruption—issues independent researchers have flagged for decades.



Children on the Front Line

Teachers report what parents already see: soaring rates of distraction, irritability, memory lapses, and hyperactivity. These are labeled as ADD or ADHD and "treated," while the environment remains unexamined.

The myelin sheath—the protective layer around nerve fibres—needs 22 years to mature fully. A child's skull is thinner, and their tissues are far more conductive; studies show they absorb up to ten times more radiation energy than adults. Yet the ICNIRP model is based on the physiology of a healthy, full-grown man and is useless for kids.

Wireless induces constant pulses and weak currents into the brain (body & embryo) that can disrupt calcium channels and mitochondrial energy production. Prolonged exposure translates into cognitive and behavioural instability and influences cell growth and survival. Electromagnetic fields induce currents—that is the basis of electromagnetism.

A Question of Fertility and Future

Animal and human studies revealed very high miscarriage and infertility rates in the first generation of the constantly exposed group. This impacts billions of girls.

We regulate food, drugs, and the environment; yet classrooms dense with radiation-emitting devices escape scrutiny—not because they've been proven safe, but because they weren't tested on the vulnerable.

Regulation is intended to prevent widespread harm. But here, liability was quietly downloaded to the decision-makers who accepted wireless in their schools.

The Regulatory Blind Spot

ICNIRP, a private, self-appointed body, issues "advisory" limits that the World Health Organization and national agencies, including Health Canada, have adopted. ICNIRP lists its critical omissions, and it seems school boards ignored—or didn't read—them:

- No consideration of children, the elderly, or electro-sensitive individuals.
- No evaluation of long-term biological effects, only thermal ones.
- Reliance on users' "scientific judgment" to determine appropriate radiation reduction levels.

That alone should disqualify ICNIRP's limits as a safety benchmark for schools. Using the guideline does not shield a school board if it has not done what was required of it. If a safety guideline clearly dismisses children's risks, it isn't protection—it's a "belief system."

A Call to Conscience

This isn't fear; it's understanding and love. We needn't abandon technology—just make it as safe as its youngest child requires. Hard-wired connections are faster, stable, and radiation-free. European pilot programs proved that low-EMF classrooms improve focus and calm.

The Petition

A growing network of parents is demanding transparency and a full review of school wireless policies. The petition[1] also offers a free downloadable book containing local help for measuring home nurseries, and a three-minute film[2] with referenced studies and support.

Our Responsibility to the Next Generation

Each generation gets one chance to protect its children. And one day, they'll have to protect their children. Let's not kick the can to them. ICNIRP's own words reveal that those guarding children from wireless harms are blind to their vulnerability.

We need a review—and we need it now. Compassion begins with understanding, and the courage to see clearly and act.

1. c.org/KGJzyZHfMQ
2. youtu.be/9qW1-l0UAfQ

Kamloops Mass Grave?

Continued from p.10

Those who disagree with the sexualization of our children in our schools and public spaces and who want to preserve the innocence of our children have also been silenced and censored. People like school trustee Barry Neufeld, Pastor Derek Reimer, Billboard Chris, parents, teachers, and others.

Then there are those who insist on **solid evidence** before accepting the **unsubstantiated declaration** that the bodies of 215 children have been found in mass graves in Kamloops. Any discussion or debate, or declaration of the facts, is met with cries of **"hate" and "racism."** Just ask Dr. Francis Widdowson, Tara Armstrong, or Dallas Brodie.

The censorship doesn't stop there. Ottawa Detective Helen Grus was censored and found guilty of "discreditable conduct" for simply asking questions about the vaccine status of mothers whose infants died suddenly and unexpectedly. **Police officers in Ontario must now ask permission to investigate a potential crime if the matter is "politically sensitive."**

Our universities are no longer institutions of higher learning or critical thinking. Witness the recent assault of Dr. Francis Widdowson at Thompson Rivers University in Kamloops and at the University of Victoria, or the many assaults on Rebel News reporters. **One has to ask, what are our children learning at these institutions?**

The list of topics Canadians can no longer talk about is growing. And those who support this censorship don't respond with facts, logical arguments, or open discussion. **They respond with verbal and physical attacks, name-calling, violence, and now legislation to silence free speech.**

Bill C-9 is vague. Terms like "intimidation" and "obstruction" are broad enough to criminalize peaceful protest or expression. Many provisions duplicate existing Criminal Code offences. **Vague, overlapping, or broadly worded laws risk arbitrary enforcement and suppression of dissent.** For those who doubt whether such is possible, one need only look to Germany, the UK, and other European countries where a simple X post, or a Facebook "like," can have you arrested and charged. **Don't think this can't or won't happen here.** The invoking of the Emergencies Act in response to peaceful protest showed us what this government is capable of.

This bill sends a chilling message—**comply, conform, be silent, and stop asking questions—or you will be criminally charged.** It has already had this effect. I've been in numerous meetings in the last six months where someone has stated, "You know, if the Liberal government has its way, this conversation will be a crime." I suggest that the purpose of Bill C-9 is **"intimidation" and "threats,"** both of which are criminal offences.

Bill C-9 undermines freedom of religion, expression, conscience, and protection from ideological coercion. By any measure, these efforts are a takedown of our society. Bill C-9 will increase division and discord and further undermine trust in our governments, institutions, courts, and media.

I suggest the situation in Canada is dire:

- **Parental authority overridden:** Schools and government agencies increasingly bypass parents, asserting authority over moral, social, and even medical decisions belonging to families.
- **Decisions made in secrecy:** Critical decisions affecting children are made behind closed doors, without transparency or public scrutiny.
- **Information distorted:** Media outlets suppress or distort evidence, leaving Canadians without trustworthy sources of truth.
- **Professionals silenced:** Professionals who try to speak honestly risk censorship, discipline, or removal.
- **Institutions politicized:** Courts and law enforcement agencies have become politicized. Public trust is broken, the rule of law has been abandoned, and the protection Canadians once had from tyranny and government overreach has been eroded.

We need to have the courage to confront the truth. **We need to hear the voices of all Canadians**, not just those aligned with a political or corporate agenda. **We need the media to reclaim their rightful place as a voice of the people** if we are to survive as a free and democratic nation.

Ted Kuntz is the President of Vaccine Choice Canada

Absurdity Observer

Some of the Most Absurd Happenings in Recent Weeks

- Celebrity performer **Katy Perry—who reportedly burned ~498 tons of fuel on a space-tourism joyride last year—attended the 2026 World Economic Forum in Davos with her new boyfriend, Justin Trudeau,** to support his push for "environmentally conscious" free trade.

- In an effort to symbolically distance the country from Russia, **Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy signed a law moving the official Christmas Day holiday observance from January 7th to December 25th**—because the Russian Orthodox Church follows the Julian calendar.

- Canada has been relieved of Chrystia Freeland thanks to Ukraine's Zelenskyy. **Freeland was hired by Ukraine to assist in providing economic advice.** In 2022, Freeland, who was Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Finance at the time, played a key role in Ottawa's response to the Freedom Convoy protest, including ordering the freezing of protesters' bank accounts.

- Internal figures obtained by Blacklock's Reporter show **federal executives averaged nearly $18,000 in bonuses in 2024,** costing taxpayers $142.5 million

- According to a *Wall Street Journal* analysis of Medicare data, **one in six seniors is being prescribed eight or more medications at the same time.**

- New federal data show **autism prevalence in Canada has increased by a staggering 1,507% in the past 23 years!** Officials insist this is merely "better awareness and diagnosis," despite the CDC's acknowledgment that existing research has not adequately examined possible links between early-infancy vaccination and autism.

- Leaked emails show former White House COVID-19 Response Team lead Anthony **Fauci—who publicly dismissed natural herd immunity as *"total nonsense"*—privately acknowledged to his team that COVID infection produced stronger, longer-lasting immunity than vaccination,** even as he continued to back mandates regardless of prior infection status.

- A large real-world study of over 53,000 adults from the Cleveland Clinic *(Shrestha et al.)* found that during the 2024–2025 flu season, **people who received the flu shot were more likely to get influenza than those who didn't—by 27%!** Yet public health agencies, including Health Canada, continue to promote annual flu shots without addressing these real-world findings.

- A new national survey from Rasmussen Reports finds that more than **one-third of vaccinated Americans report side effects, including 10% who describe them as major.** Scaled to the US population, that amounts to tens of millions reporting harm—a figure over 77 times higher than the number of serious reactions reflected in the CDC's Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) database, despite officials long assuring the public such effects were "rare and mild." The findings align with a study done in 2010 by Harvard that found that *"fewer than 1% of vaccine adverse events are reported to VAERS" (Lazarus et al.)*


CLIMATE HYPOCRISY
ECO-JET?
CELEB SPACE TOURS
TRUDEAU'S "ECO-CONSCIOUS" FREE TRADE PUSH
MY SPACE FUEL: 498 TONS (approx)
DAVOS 2026 WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM
? ? ?
AIREY

- A population-based study using the **entire Madrid public health database found no deaths attributable to COVID-19 among children** aged 6–17. The study —*"Effectiveness and Safety of COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines in Children 6–17 Years Old" (Hernán et al.)*— quietly confirms that the push to vaccinate children was unnecessary.

- **The *Washington Post* published a detailed investigation showing that childhood vaccination rates across the United States are falling sharply,** particularly for measles. Only 25% of counties now meet the 95% coverage level commonly associated with herd immunity.

- **A federal judge has ruled that major US medical organizations can proceed with a lawsuit against vaccine policy changes under Health Secretary Robert F. Kennedy Jr.**—with one of the court-recognized "harms" being that doctors might have to spend more time talking to families about vaccines instead of rushing through appointments. The case effectively treats informed consent as a financial injury, tacitly admitting that meaningful discussions often aren't happening in pediatric care. This comes as the US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has scaled back the childhood vaccine schedule—reducing routine, blanket recommendations from 17 to 11. Adding to the absurdity, the plaintiffs' lead counsel previously served as Moderna's VP of public policy during the COVID shot rollout and earlier worked for Merck, which makes the lawsuit look less like patient advocacy and more like an attempt to protect a business model.

- In a plot twist worthy of the COVID era, **Bayer (which acquired Monsanto) has filed federal lawsuits against Pfizer, BioNTech, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson, claiming they used Monsanto-developed mRNA technology without permission to produce COVID-19 "vaccines."** The injections aren't being challenged—only their share of $93 billion in royalties.

- **A scientific journal has retracted a highly influential study long used to defend the safety of Roundup after admitting it was tainted by "serious ethical concerns"**—including evidence it was ghostwritten by Monsanto. Internal emails revealed company officials helped draft the paper while outside academics lent their names.

- A new peer-reviewed study links common **"forever chemicals" (PFAS), which are found in nonstick cookware, to a nearly threefold increase in liver disease risk among adolescents**—raising fresh questions about what kids are being exposed to long before they can consent. Researchers from the University of Southern California and the University of Hawai'i found higher PFAS blood levels tied to early-onset metabolic liver disease, a condition that can quietly progress into diabetes, heart disease, or cancer, according to findings published in *Environmental Research (Li et al.)*.

- New alarming research from the *Health and Environment Alliance* finds **sperm counts have dropped by more than 50% since the 1970s, thanks in part to routine exposure to pesticides, PFAS, BPA, and microplastics.**

- Data from a Florida Department of Health initiative that tested 24 popular infant formulas found that **16 out of the 24 formulas contained elevated levels of toxic heavy metals,** including lead, cadmium, mercury, and arsenic.

- Official Louisiana Department of Health records reveal that there are higher **odds of infant death following the routine 2-month vaccination visit compared to unvaccinated infants** of the same age. In an analysis by Dr. Jablonowski and Dr. Hooker of Children's Health Defense, the government immunization and mortality data showed a dose-response pattern, meaning, mortality risk increased as more vaccines were given.

- **Germany's new "Transparency Act" lets regulators search media offices and their platforms without warrants.** The federal cabinet has approved a bill that allows state agents to enter the offices of media companies and search their digital platforms without a judge's permission. The official justification: ensuring honesty in political advertising.

SUPPORT CANADIAN INDEPENDENT MEDIA WITH A

POSTAL SUBSCRIPTION TO DRUTHERS NEWSPAPER

SIGN UP ONLINE:

DRUTHERS.CA/SUBSCRIBE

Starts at $8 a month. Papers are free, fee is for shipping and handling.
Or, send e-transfer: admin@druthers.net

TO SIGN UP BY MAIL, CUT OUT THIS FORM >
$96 / year for 1 copy a month
$119.40 / year for 3 copies a month
$179.40 / year for 12 copies a month
$479.40 / year for 100 copies a month

Druthers receives no government funding and has no corporate sponsors. We have printed over 14 million papers since we began in 2020 and continue each month only because 1000s of concerned Canadians like you understand the value & importance of keeping independent media going strong.

☐ YES! Please mail ___ copies of Druthers to my mailbox each month.
☐ NO papers needed. Accept this as a donation to print more papers.

FULL NAME ____________________

EMAIL ____________________

SHIPPING ADDRESS ____________________

____

MAIL CASH OR CHEQUE S/H FEE TO:
Shawn Jason Laplante
PO Box 40531 Six Points Plaza,
Toronto, Ontario, M9B 6K8

*please make cheques payable to: Shawn Jason Laplante